447

19 July 1948

## MOCKALIONS OF STALL INITITIONICE CHOICE

Leging from 1889 to the governmental section, one suddenly comes face to face with a succession of distators who flourished at some period unspecified. Needs a word on 1889-1948.

- The material cartainly isn't intrinsically interesting, but no explanation of it has to be as painfully dull as this. Instead of plodding along through the various powers granted in the Brazilain constitution, sky not use some sort of analytical approach? From this neutral corner, it would look as if the various features described arose naturally from various sad experiences brazil has had with dictators. What they seem to have done, each time a new dictator found a way to absorate the constitution by chicanery, was to add another law designed to step such malpractices in the future. Why not indicate the sallient points in the Brazilian code from this approach for instance?
- J. Flence also try rewrite of sections marked by green line in margin. If you want an example of why some sort of rewriting is necessary and why it ought to be done in the Branch, look at p.23, para.l. So far as I'm someogned, all it says is said in what we have left of it, but from ignorance of Brazil, we may have misstated the matter grossly. The Branch could do this sort of cutting more intelligently.

4. pp.31 ff. Too detailed and too dail. It is enough, for example, to perceive on p.31, line 9 that PSD is deeply constitted to maintenance of the status que and then to sustain the rude shock at line 17, of discovering that party is anti-Communist.

If the Branch would agree, believe it would be definitely worth while to cover the parties by a brief analysis of each and then to relegate the details here outlined to a Table. You might have to get slong without all the planks in each platform but it hardly seems relevant to list each.

There's more history of the Communist perty then there is of Brazili is, pp.49-58. This is a question rather than a suggestion, but the discussion appears off hand to be rather over-elaborate, especially in a matter dealing primarily with local issues of a convent and possibly ephanomal mature. Mould it be better to stick entirely to the basis factors in stability without explanation of all the palitical maneuvering factors.